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Background: Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) admitted patients are considered as a nutritionally high-risk population, for whom optimum energy and nutrient delivery is an important treatment strategy preventing organ dysfunction and subsequently poor clinical outcomes.

Objectives: The present study aimed to investigate the nutritional adequacy indices and their probable relations to clinical outcomes in critically ill children.

Methods: This project was a retrospective cross-sectional study carried out at the Akbar Children’s Hospital, Mashhad, Iran. All critically ill children with PICU stay >48 hours during May-June 2019 were enrolled. Age, gender, medical diagnosis, nutritional status, energy and protein requirements and deliveries, and clinical outcomes of patients were extracted.

Results: Seventy-one patients were included, among whom 39 subjects (54.9%) were male. The prevalence of malnutrition was 45.3% and 52.4% in PICU patients with surgical and non-surgical underlying diseases, respectively. There were significant associations between the nutritional status of the patients (upon the PICU admission time), infection, and mortality rate. Mean±SEM values of the estimated energy requirement and delivered energy were 85.7±1.6 and 68.3±2.1 kcal/kg/d, respectively. In addition, the estimated protein requirement and protein delivery were 2.5±0.08 and 1.8±0.03 gr/kg/d, respectively. Energy intake had a negative association with infection rate and lower protein delivery was negatively associated with prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation.

Conclusions: Significant associations were found between energy/protein delivery and some clinical outcomes. The findings indicated the necessity of immediate further studies on the efficacy of different nutritional interventions as well as monitoring of optimal nutrition support barriers in critically ill children.
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1. Introduction

Malnutrition is a major problem among critically ill patients due to decreased appetite, decreased energy and protein intake, increased energy requirements, and inflammation [1-5]. Critical illnesses can consequently cause malnutrition, which is associated with worsening clinical outcomes in patients admitted to the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) [1, 3-7].

The effects of malnutrition on the spread of some complications, such as the prolonged hospital and PICU stay and reduced response to medication during treatment, are well-known [1, 3, 5, 8]. Moreover, malnutrition reduces the adhesion of the intestinal mucosa, leading to an increase in the susceptibility to bacteria and rates of infection [9]. Additionally, the coexistence of malnutrition and stress caused by acute illness in patients admitted to the ICU was found to be associated with negative energy balance and lean mass reduction. Increased catabolism of Lean Body Mass (LBM) aggravates the clinical condition and increases the length of hospital stay, recovery time, and treatment costs [2, 9]. According to the previous studies, along with increasing body mass loss in hospitalized patients as a result of malnutrition, the mortality rate also increases significantly. With a 10, 20, 30, and 40% decrease in LBM, the mortality rate increases by 10, 30, 50, and 100%, respectively [4].

Therefore, optimum nutrition support in PICU patients is of particular importance, to prevent muscle wasting and improving the functions of the organs. For example, adequate energy and protein delivery is associated with maintained Gastrointestinal (GI) membrane integrity and reduced bacterial displacement, which results in better GI system function [2, 4, 8, 9]. Additionally, the immune system function is affected by the status of nutrition support in the PICU. Therefore, nutritional adequacy may have some beneficial effects on clinical outcomes, including the duration of mechanical ventilation, hospital and PICU stay, infection, mortality, and costs [2, 4, 8, 9].

Given the special importance of childhood due to the children’s growth and development during this period, proper nutritional management of critically ill children, in order to shorten the acute phase response of the disease and recovery period, discharge with minimal complications and in the shortest possible time, and compensate the disease-related delayed growth following recovery, is necessary, difficult, and challenging [5, 10, 11].

The present study was conducted to investigate the nutritional adequacy and its probable relations to clinical outcomes of PICU admitted patients, in order to have a better judgment on the nutrition support services status. Accordingly, this issue may lead to making realistic evidence-based decisions to improve the medical management of critically ill pediatric patients.

2. Methods

This retrospective cross-sectional study was performed in Akbar Children’s Hospital (a university-affiliated, tertiary-level hospital with a 19-bed PICU) in Mashhad, Iran. The data of the patients with PICU length of stay >48 hours during May-June 2019 (8 weeks), were extracted. The patients who died or were discharged in the first 48 hours following PICU admission as well as those with unavailable documents were not recruited. According to the study by Nagrath et al. (2018) and considering protein adequacy as the main outcome of the study, the minimum estimated sample size was 65 patients [12]. The recorded variables included age, gender, primary diagnosis, and delivered energy and protein at the discharge time from PICU (in case of length of PICU stay >seven days) or on the 7th day of PICU stay (in case of length of PICU stay >eight days). The weight-for-height z-score (World Health Organization (WHO) Global Database) was applied for the assessment of the patients’ nutritional status upon admission to PICU. Moreover, clinical outcomes, including infection, the duration of mechanical ventilation, length of PICU and hospital stay, and possible mortality were recorded. Finally, to determine the basal energy requirement, the Schofield equation was used, and the European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition guidelines were used, in order to calculate the energy and protein requirements of patients in terms of age, height, weight, gender, and severity of the disease [13, 14]. Notably, the achievement of more than two-thirds of the target goals, energy and protein delivery, at the end of the first week of PICU staying or sooner was considered as positive nutritional adequacy [15].

Paper records of patients who were illegible and non-assessable or those with incomplete information were excluded from the study. The required information was recorded in a proper checklist, which was prepared according to the variables of the study by
the researchers to ensure that all needed data are extracted from each document.

The Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (MUMS) approved the study protocol and the study was performed in terms of the Declaration of Helsinki. The MUMS ethical committee waived the patient consent because of the retrospective non-identifiable document-based nature of the study and the non-identifiable extracted data for the study variables.

Statistical analysis was done by IBMSPSS 20.0. Descriptive statistics were applied to present the demographic characteristics of the critically ill pediatric patients who were studied in this research. Numbers and percentages were applied to present the qualitative data of the study. The distribution of the quantitative data was checked through the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Pearson/Spearman coefficient was also applied to investigate the probable correlations between nutritional indices (i.e., nutritional status, energy and protein delivery, and adequacy) and clinical outcomes. A P-value < 0.05 was considered the statistical significance level for all the analyses.

3. Results

Totally, 71 critically ill pediatric patients with Mean±SD age of 18.1±2.2 months were enrolled in this study. The Mean±SD weight and height of the participants were 7.5±0.45 kg and 68.6±2.6 cm, respectively. There were 32 (45.5%) female and 39 (54.9%) male subjects in the studied patients.

Table 1 depicts the demographic characteristics of the patients. As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference in terms of age, weight, height, gender, and nutrition status at the time of admission between the critically ill children with non-surgical underlying diseases and the children admitted to PICU due to their critical condition following surgeries.

According to the results of the present study, the Mean±SEM values of the energy requirement and delivered energy were estimated as 85.7±1.6 and 68.3±2.1 kcal/kg/d, respectively. Additionally, the estimated protein requirement and delivered protein were 2.5±0.08 and 1.8±0.03 gr/kg/d, respectively. There was no significant difference in the aforementioned indices between the two studied groups (non-surgical and surgical underlying diseases (P>0.05).

As shown in Table 2, Enteral Nutrition (EN) was the most frequent route used for feeding critically ill children with surgical underlying diseases and the combination of EN and Parenteral Nutrition (PN) was the most frequent route of administration for the patients admitted to PICU due to non-surgical underlying diseases. However, the obtained data showed no significant difference between these two groups in terms of the feeding route. Energy and protein adequacy had similar frequencies, which were recorded in 15 (78.9%) and 45 (86.5%) patients in the two groups with non-surgical and surgical underlying diseases, respectively (Table 2).

The recorded clinical outcomes of the studied patients are shown in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, lengths of hospital and PICU stay, infection, and mortality rates were similar in these two groups. However, the duration of mechanical ventilation was significantly higher among critically ill children with non-surgical underlying diseases (P<0.001).

The results of the present study show that there were significant associations between nutritional status at the time of admission, infection, and mortality rates (P=0.001 and 0.004, respectively; Spearman’s correlation coefficient). However, the patients’ nutritional status was not related to the duration of mechanical ventilation, PICU, and hospital stay (P>0.05; Spearman’s correlation coefficient).

There was a significant association between protein delivery and mechanical ventilation duration (P-value=0.01). Also, a negative significant association was found between energy delivery and the infection rate (P=0.03).

Finally, in the present study, a subgroup analysis of the obtained data showed significant associations between energy delivery, length of PICU stay, and hospital stay in critically ill pediatric patients with non-surgical underlying diseases (P=0.02 and 0.03, respectively).

4. Discussion

This cross-sectional study was performed to investigate the current status of nutrition support services among critically ill pediatric patients. Correspondingly, this would be an important step for performing realistic monitoring, in order to improve nutrition support services in PICU. The results of the present study showed that almost half of the study participants had normal nutritional status upon the PICU admission time. Moreover, delivered energy and protein levels among the two studied groups were at acceptable ranges. The EN
was the most frequent route used for feeding critically ill children with surgical underlying diseases and the combination of EN and PN was the most frequent administration route for the patients admitted to PICU due to non-surgical underlying diseases.

Optimal energy and macro and micronutrient delivery is considered a therapeutic strategy in the medical management of critically ill children [10, 16-19]. Stepwise nutritional assessment, intervention, and monitoring of such patients also are of particular importance used to minimize growth retardation, Fat-Free Mass (FFM) loss, and complications during PICU stay [20-22].

Nutritional status was considered as a predictor of the ventilation duration in the study by Grippa et al.; however, such a relationship was not seen in our study, which may be due to the normal nutritional status of most of the studied patients upon admission time [23]. On the other hand, the malnourished patients experienced higher infection and mortality rates, which are consistent with the systematic review performed by Costa et al. who reported that nutritionally depleted patients may experience worse clinical outcomes [24].

Additionally, the energy and protein delivery levels of the patients in the two groups were at an acceptable range. These findings may be inconsistent with those of some previously published articles. Accordingly, these disagreements may be due to different study populations as most of the studied patients were critically ill patients with abdominal/neurosurgical underlying diseases [16, 25-27]. Additionally, the presence of nutritionists in daily medical team visits in our study may be

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and nutritional status of patients upon the PICU admission time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Underlying Disease</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-surgical Diseases (N=19)</td>
<td>Surgical Diseases (N=52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mon)</td>
<td>13.6±3.7</td>
<td>19.8±2.7</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>6.4±0.7</td>
<td>7.9±0.5</td>
<td>0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>65.3±3.7</td>
<td>69.8±3.3</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female)</td>
<td>8 (42.1)</td>
<td>24 (46.1)</td>
<td>0.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional status upon admission ***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9 (47.3)</td>
<td>28 (53.8)</td>
<td>0.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate malnutrition</td>
<td>3 (15.7)</td>
<td>8 (15.3)</td>
<td>0.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe malnutrition</td>
<td>7 (36.7)</td>
<td>16 (30.7)</td>
<td>0.5**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Data are expressed as Mean±SEM using independent t-test; **: Data are expressed as frequency (percentage) using Chi-square test; ***: Z-score (WHO weight-for-length, height, and body mass index [BMI] for ages of 0-2, 2-5, and >5 years, respectively; Z>-2: normal status, -3<Z<-2: moderate malnutrition, Z<-3: severe malnutrition).

Table 2. Nutrition support indices in the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Underlying Disease</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-surgical diseases (N=19)</td>
<td>Surgical diseases (N=52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding route</td>
<td>Enteral</td>
<td>6(31.5)</td>
<td>24(46.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enteral+Parenteral</td>
<td>8(42.1)</td>
<td>20(38.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parenteral</td>
<td>5(26.3)</td>
<td>8(15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy adequacy</td>
<td>15(78.9)</td>
<td>45(86.5)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein adequacy</td>
<td>15(78.9)</td>
<td>45(86.5)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as frequency (percentage) using the Chi-square test.

another effective factor affecting the nutrient delivery of the studied patients. Moreover, as nutrition delivery is considered an effective factor in clinical outcomes, this acceptable status would influence the recorded clinical outcomes in the present study as well [26, 28]. Consistently, energy intake had a negative association with infection rate. In addition, lower protein delivery was found to be negatively associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation.

The present study was the second retrospective study conducted on the nutritional adequacy of the critically ill children in our referral PICU. Accordingly, the nutrition support indices obviously improved following the regular daily presence of nutritionists in medical staff team visits [25]. Additionally, our study investigated the nutritional adequacy of gastrointestinal surgical critically ill neonates and showed a high prevalence of underfeeding in such patients [16]. The aforementioned issue highlights the importance of the necessity of coordinated teamwork for the better management of PICU patients.

However, recording no nutrient delivery barriers was the main limitation of the present study, because we cannot have an evidence-based judgment on the probable barriers to optimum nutrient delivery in our PICU to make more realistic decisions. For example, rare post-pyloric tube insertion obviously was a routine barrier for us, but we found no records in hospital documents regarding this issue. Moreover, as our ward was not considered as a referral PICU for nephrological and oncological patients as well as cardiac surgical subjects, we cannot generalize the obtained results to all pediatric critically ill patients. Therefore, performing further prospective, large-population-based, multi-center studies is necessary to have a proper realistic opinion on the current nutrition support status and the nutrient delivery barriers in PICU patients. This step would be an important pivotal step to make customized nutrition support instructions and guidelines for such patients.

5. Conclusion

According to the results of the present study, energy and nutrient delivery levels were at acceptable status in 78.9% of the critically ill children with non-surgical underlying diseases and in 86.5% of the surgical PICU patients. The EN was the most frequent route used for feeding critically ill children with surgical underlying diseases and the combination of EN and PN was more used for the patients admitted to PICU due to non-surgical underlying diseases. This finding revealed the improved quality of nutrition support services during the last year. Moreover, some significant associations between energy/protein delivery and some clinical outcomes, including mechanical ventilation duration, infection rate, length of PICU, and hospital stay indicated the necessity of further reasonable, realistic, and evidence-based nutritional interventions as well as monitoring optimal nutrition support barriers in critically ill pediatric patients.
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Table 3. Clinical outcomes in the two studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Underlying Disease</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-surgical Diseases (N=19)</td>
<td>Surgical Diseases (N=52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of mechanical ventilation (day)**</td>
<td>3.6±0.4</td>
<td>1.6±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of PICU stay (days)**</td>
<td>5.7±0.5</td>
<td>6.7±0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay (day)**</td>
<td>9.1±0.7</td>
<td>8.6±0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Data expressed as frequency (percentage) using the Chi-square test; **: Data are expressed as Mean±SEM using the independent t-test.
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