JPR

Journal of Pediatrics Review



Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences

Factors Contributing to Postanesthetic Emergence Agitation in Pediatric Anaesthesia

Soheila Shahmohammadi¹ Afshin Gholipour Baradari² Mohammad Reza Habibi^{3*} Mohammad Jafar Saffar⁴

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Review Article

Article history:

Received: 25 May 2013 Revised: 17 June 2013 Accepted: 11 July 2013

Keywords:

Emergence, Agitation, General Anaesthesia, Pediatric

http://jpr.mazums.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

Emergence Agitation that has been first described by Eckenhoff et al. in 1960's is a dissociated state of consciousness in which the child is inconsolable, irritable, uncooperative, typically thrashing, crying, moaning or incoherent. It is also a common problem in pediatric postanesthetic care unit with an incidence ranging from 10 to 80%. This literature review focused on presence of Emergence Agitation and contributing factors in children under general anaesthesia. It was conducted on Medline in PubMed area, Alta Vista Data bases, CINHAL and Google scholar in January 2013 for publications written in English with the following keywords: "Emergence Agitation, Etiology, Treatment, Pediatric Anaesthesia, Postanesthetic Care Unit, Children, inhaled anesthetics, intravenous anesthetics and Post Anesthetic Emergence Delirium". In this paper, we intend to review the factors contributing postanesthetic emergence agitation in children to improve our vision in this area.

Introduction

Nowadays, about four million children undergo anaesthesia annually and Emergence Agitation (EA) has been identified as a significant problem in children at Post Anaesthesia Care Unit (PACU). Early epidemiologic studies

demonstrated a 5.3% incidence of EA in all postoperative patients, with a more frequent incidence in children (12-13%).^{1,2} The incidence of EA in Children who received volatile anesthetic agents (sevoflurane and

*Corresponding author:Mohammadreza Habibi, Assistant Professor, Anesthesiologist, Fellowship of Cardiac Anesthesiology Mailing Address:Department of Anesthesiology, Fatemeh Zahra Cardiac Center Hospital, Artesh Boulevard, Sari, Iran

Tel:+98 151 2223023-25 Fax:+98 151 2228484

Email: mohammadreza.habibi@gmail.com

¹CRNA, Research Fellow, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

^{2,3}Department of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

⁴Department of Pediatric Infectious Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

desflurane) has been reported from 24 to 66%, ³ increasing to 80% in preschool children.⁴ EA is a postanesthetic problem that interferes with child's recovery and presents a challenging situation for the post-anaesthesia care provider in terms of assessment and management.³ Although, several factors have been identified as etiologic factors of EA, there is no entire description for emergence agitation. Many different causes have been suggested, such as rapid awakening in an unfamiliar settings, painful events like surgical wounds, agitation airway induction. obstructions, on environmental disturbances, duration anaesthesia, hyperthermia, hypothermia, type and site of operation, premedication, inhaled and intravenous anesthetics and the anesthetic technique. 1,5-7 Although EA is usually selflimited and occurs within the first 30-minutes of recovery in PACU, it can last up to 2 days and leads to physical damage, disconnected of intravenous catheters, removing of dressing or drainage tube and monitoring devices. On the other hand, controlling the agitated child needs more nursing care and more post-anaesthesia care providers. In addition, the administration of sedative and analgesic drugs is associated with increased recovery time and PACU discharge delay.⁸⁻¹⁰ Generally, treatment in all cases mentioned above is directed to the correction of causative agents. Although, numerous medications have been studied to prevent or reduce EA in children, no special preventive method has been shown to be highly superior. Understanding the risk factors for EA helps us to determine the best way to control this phenomenon in the PACU period. Because of the contradictory results of previous studies conducted to determine the related risk factors of EA and the former review article written about EA in children in 2011 reviewed this phenomenon as a whole, herein, we reviewed the contributing factors for EA and suggested interventions.

Materials and Methods

A literature review about the possible causes of postoperative agitation in preschool children was conducted on Medline in PubMed area, Alta Vista Data bases, CINHAL and Google scholar in January 2013 for publications written in English with the following keywords: "Emergence Agitation, Etiology, Treatment, Pediatric Anaesthesia. Postanesthetic Care Unit. Children. anesthetics. inhaled intravenous anesthetics and Post Anesthetic Emergence Delirium (PAED). All articles written in English, focused on presence of EA and contributing factors in children under general anaesthesia from January 2011 to January 2013 were included. Publications were excluded if the anaesthesia technique was not general.

Results

A total 12 related articles met the criteria of our search, 10 of them were randomized control trials, one case report and one article was case control. Table 1 shows the list of the papers evaluated and the summary of the repossessed data.

Discussion

This review reveals that Emergence Agitation (EA) still remains a significant postanesthetic problem that interferes with the child's recovery and challenges the PACU care provider in terms of assessment and treatment. Considering the potential risk factors is important to appropriately differentiate and treat agitation in the pediatric PACU. We also have found evidences that some of anesthetics may lead to decrease the incidence of postanesthetic EA.

70 J Pediatr Rev. 2013:1(2)

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the studied papers (Continued)

Source /Date	Study Design	Cases	Age of patients	Anesthetic Technique patient (No.)	Premedication	Induction	Maintenance	Type of surgery	Agitation scale	EA' incidence
Na et al.	RCT	2	Pre-	Sevoflurane Anesthesia Remifentanil group (42 pt. ³)		thiopental, rocuronium, and 1% Sevoflurane	1% sevoflurane, 60% nitrous oxide in oxygen, and a continuous infusion of remifentanil	Adenotonsilectomy	PAED ^b &	remifentanil group= 6 (4.25–10.25)
11 (2013)		5	children	Sevoflurane Anesthesia Sevoflurane group (42 Pt.)		thiopental, rocuronium, and 8% sevoflurane	2–3% sevoflurane		EA scale	sevoflurane group= 11 (7.75–14.0)
Li et al.	TYO	S	Pre-	Sevoflurane Anesthesia sufentanil 0.15 μg/kg		Sevoflurane		repair of unilateral		sufentanil group=9.1+/- 3.5 fentanyl group=12+/-
¹² (2013)		0	children	Sevoflurane Anesthesia fentanyl 1.5μg/kg		anesthesia	ı	inguinal hernia	ı	3.8 95% confidence interval [1.27+/-0.53]; p=0.001

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the studied papers (Continued)

EA° incidence		Group P< Group F < Group S		PAED scores for EA were	lower in the dexmedetomidi ne $(P < 0.001)$ and ketamine $(P = 0.002)$ groups than in	the placebo group
Agitation scale		PAED			PAED	
Type of surgery		inguinal hernia repair			strabismus surgery	
Maintenance		Sevoflurane 2-2.5% + O2 50%			sevoflurane	
Induction		Sevoflurane anaesthesia 8% + O2			sevoflurane anesthesia	
Premedication						
Source Study Cases Age of Anesthetic Technique Preme //Date Design patients patient (No.)	Sevoflurane Anesthesia propofol 1 mg kg(-1) (Group P) at the end of Op.	Sevoflurane Anesthesia fentanyl 1 µg kg(-1) (Group F) at the end of Op.	Sevoflurane Anesthesia saline (Group S) at the end of Op.	Sevoflurane Anesthesia dexmedetomidine 1 μg·kg(-1) iv plus a 1 μg·kg(-1)·hr(-1) infusion,	Sevoflurane Anesthesia ketamine 1 mg·kg(-1) iv plus a 1 mg·kg(-1)·hr(-1) infusion,	Sevoflurane Anesthesia Normal saline
Age of patients		18-72 months			2-7 y/o	
Cases		222			48	
Study Design		RCT			RCT	
Source /Date		Kim et al. ¹³ (2013)			Chen et al. ¹⁴ (2013)	

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the studied papers (Continued)

Source /Date	Study Design	Cases	Age of patients	Anesthetic Technique patient (No.)	Premedication	Induction	Maintenance	Type of surgery	Agitation scale	EA [×] incidence
				Isoflurane group,		% &	O(2) + N2O and isoflurane, $O2$			
Singh et al. ¹⁵ (2012)	RCT	75	4m-7y/o	Sevoflurane group		sevoflurane and 100%	N2O and sevoflurane O2	Sub umbilical surgery	PAED	${f NS}^{ m q}$
				Desflurane group		oxygen -	N2O and desflurane			
				Sevoflurane Anesthesia: Placebo group		At first, and then dexmedetom				Dexmedetomid
Meng et al. ¹⁶ (2012)	Case-	120	5-14y/o	Sevoflurane Anesthesia: low dexmedetomidine concentration group	intravenous injection 40 µg/kg midazolam	idine was given intravenousl y as an initial loading dose of 0.5 ug kg	infusion of 0.2 µg/kg/h or 0.4 µg kg/h over the surgery	tonsillectomy	VAS° score	ine appears to be safe and effective to reduce the incidence of early
				Sevoflurane Anesthesia: high dexmedetomidine concentration group		or 1 μg/kg over a 10- min period				agitation

Source /Date	Study Design	Cases	Age of patients	Source Study Cases Age of Anesthetic Technique Preme /Date Design patients patient (No.)	Premedication	Induction	Maintenance	Type of surgery	Agitation scale	EA° incidence
Dahmani et al. ¹⁷ (2012)	Case	-	3y/o		,	sevoflurane 6% (in a mixture ofO2/N2O: 50%/ 50%)	spontaneously breathing with a 3% sevoflurane end-tidal concentration (in a mixture of O2/N2O:50%)	bilateral myringotomy	PAED	PAED score=19
				Desflurane Anesthesia: group C received normal saline						
Jeong et al. ¹⁸ (2012)	RCT	09	2-8y/o	Desflurane Anesthesia: group K1.0 received ketamine 1.0 mg/kg intravenously before entering the operating room	Atropine 0.01 mg/kg was injected intramuscularly 30 min before the induction of anesthesia	Thiopental sodium 5 mg/kg and rocuronium 0.6 mg/kg	oxygen 1.5 L/min, nitrous oxide 1.5 L/min and desflurane at 4-6 vol%	brief ophthalmic surgery	EA and the modified Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario	K ^f 1.0 <k0.5<c< td=""></k0.5<c<>
				Desflurane Anesthesia: group K0.5 received ketamine 0.5 mg/kg 10 min before the end of the surgery						

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the studied papers (Continued)

EA° incidence		NS S	Postoperative agitation was significantly less in patients who received halothane anesthesia with oral	premedication
Agitation scale		4-point scale	emergence agitation scale	
Type of surgery		tonsillectomy/ adenoidectomy	for short (less than 0.5 hour) outpatient surgeries	
Maintenance	group N received sevoflurane and 70% N2O for maintenance of anesthesia (FIO2 0.3)	Group R received sevoflurane with remifentanil infusion at the rate of 0.17 µg/kg/min (FIO2 0.3: O2 0.6 L/min, medical air 4.4 L/min)	Sevoflurane was started at 1% and gradually increased up to 70% at intervals of every three breaths. Halothane was started at 0.5% and increased to 4% with	increments of 0.5% after every three breaths.
Induction	thiopental 5 mg/kg, rocuronium	0.6 mg/kg and 3-4vol% sevoflurane in oxygen 5 L/min (FIO2 1.0)	sevoflurane (or halothane) and 60% nitrous oxide in oxygen at a flow rate	
Premedication		Glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg IM	oral midazolam premedication -	oral midazolam premedication
Anesthetic Technique patient (No.)	Sevoflurane Anesthesia: N2O group (Group N; n = 40, sevoflurane and 70% N2O)	Sevoflurane Anesthesia: remifentanil group (Group R; n = 40, sevoflurane with remifentanil infusion at the rate of 0.17 µg/kg/min)	Sevoflurane Anesthesia with parental presence without premedication Sevoflurane Anesthesia Halothane Anesthesia with parental presence	4. Sevoflurane Anesthesia with oral midazolam premedication
Age of patients	,	2-12y/o	2-7y/o	
Cases	40	40	167	
Study Design		RCT	RCT	
Source /Date		Choi et al. ¹⁹ (2011)	Zand et al. ²⁰ (2011)	

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the studied papers (Continued)

Source	Study	Cases	Age of	Source Study Cases Age of Anesthetic Technique Preme	Premedication	Induction	Maintenance	Type of surgery	Agitation	EA° incidence
/Date	Design		patients	patient (No.)					scale	
			·	Sevoflurane Anesthesia: normal saline (control group),						The incidence of severe agitation was
LI et al. ²¹ (2011)	RCT	105	3–11 y/o	Sufentanil 0.2 µg/kg (S2)	1	sevoflurane	sevoflurane	adenotonsilectomy	PAED scales	significantly lower in S2 and F2 groups
				Fentanyl 2 µg/kg (F2) 1 minute after loss of the eyelash reflex						vs. the control group
		56		Sevoflurane Anaesthesia: ET-A group (n = 56, endotracheal tube and extubation whilst awake)		8% sevoflurane in nitrous oxide/oxyge n (3/1 l/min)				LMA-D ^g
Lee et al. 22 (2011)	RCT	56		Sevoflurane Anaesthesia: ET-D group (n=56, endotracheal tube and deep extu-bation)		mixture via a face mask 2 μg/kg fentanyl for analgesia and 0.1	sevoflurane with air/oxygen (1/1 1/min) mixture in a semiclosed circle system	Subumbilical Surgery		patients compared with patients in the ET-A ^h Group (21.4% Vs.
		56		Sevoflurane Anaesthesia: LMA-D group (n = 56, experienced LMA and deep removal)		mg/kg ondansetron to prevent nausea and vomiting				41.1%)

Abbreviations: ^aPt (Patient), ^bPAED (Post anesthetic Emergence Delirium), ^cEA (Emergence Agitation), ^dNS (No Significant), ^eVAS (visual analog scale), ^fK (Ketamine), ^g Laryngeal Mask Airway – Deep (LMA-D), ^h Endotracheal- Awake, ¹Randomized Clinical Trial.

Na et al. (2013) in a study to investigate the effect of sevoflurane anaesthesia in combination with remifentanil during the induction and maintenance of anaesthesia found that PAED score in remifentanil group was significantly lower than sevoflurane group (P=0.007) and the proportion of patients with PAED scores 10 point scale and four scores significantly lower in the remifentanil group than in the sevoflurane group. 11 Comparing the effect of sufentanil and fentanyl by Li et al. on emergence agitation in preschool children who underwent repair of unilateral inguinal hernia following sevoflurane anaesthesia have showed that 0.15µg/kg sufentanil compared with a single dose of 1.5µg/kg fentanyl could decrease the incidence significantly of emergence agitation without delaying the recovery time. 12

Similarly, in a study by Lee et al., to investigate the effect of sufentanil to reduce emergence agitation after sevoflurane anaesthesia children undergoing adenotonsilectomy compared with fentanyl, they had concluded that administration of sufentanil at 0.2 µg/kg after induction of anaesthesia could reduce emergence agitation more without delaying the recovery time causing significant or hypotension in children compared with fentanyl.²¹

It has been shown that propofol comparing with fentanyl to prevent of EA after sevoflurane anaesthesia in children was more effective and associated with lower PAED score.¹³

Intraoperative administration of ketamine and dexmedetomidine has also decreased the incidence of EA and PAED score in pediatric patients under sevoflurane anaesthesia. ¹⁴

The incidence of EA has been reported higher with sevoflurane compared with desflurane and isoflurane. However, among the three anesthetic agents no correlation was found between the incidence of EA and duration of anaesthesia or age.¹⁵ Evaluating the efficacy and safety of

dexmedetomidine for emergence agitation after tonsillectomy under sevoflurane anaesthesia in children appeared that dexmedetomidine could be safe and effective to reduce the incidence of early emergence agitation in children after tonsillectomy. Initial loading dose of $1.0~\mu g/\ kg$ followed by a maintenance infusion of $0.4~\mu g/kg/hrs$ was a better choice for children who received sevoflurane anaestesia. 16

Allowing one of the parents to enter the PACU and holding the child was associated with reduced risk factor of EA and/ or to treat it.¹⁷ Similarly, the results of Zand et al.'s study to the compare effects of midazolam premedication and parental presence during sevoflurane and halothane anaesthesia induction on the incidence of postoperative agitation in pediatric patients revealed that the presence of a parent at induction of sevoflurane anaesthesia was as effective as midazolam premedication in decreasing the incidence of postoperative agitation. Midazolam premedication effective to decrease postoperative EA associated with halothane was used as the anesthetic agent.²⁰

The results of the study with the different dosages ketamine with desflurane anaesthesia by Jeong et al. for brief ophthalmic surgery demonstrated that both the incidence of EA and pain scales were at least in K1.0 group compared with the K0.5 and placebo groups. 18 Investigating the effect of remifentanil as an alternative to N2O by Choi et al. in 2011 on EA and the presence of postoperative pain in preschool children under general anaesthesia with sevoflurane for tonsillectomy/ adenoidectomy surgery indicated that severity post-operative pain in remifentanil group was more that the N2O group (P=0.012). There were no significant differences between the two groups in incidence of EA.¹⁹

Lee et al. in their study to compare the effect of laryngeal mask airway (LMA) and the removal of the LMA in a deeply anaesthetized state with endotracheal tube (ET) and extubation when the

J Pediatr Rev. 2013;1(2) 77

patient was awake or deeply anaesthetized on the incidence of emergence agitation in preschool children after sevoflurane anaesthesia for subumbilical surgery concluded that using an LMA and deep removal could decrease postoperative emergence agitation more than endotracheal tube and awake extubation after sevoflurane anaesthesia in pediatric patients.²²

Conclusions

This review has identified that short time to awakening. sevoflurane anaesthesia. otorhinolaryngology procedures, preschool children age and difficult parental-separation behavior were the risk factors of EA. On the other hand the combination of remifentanil, ketamine sufentanil, propofol, dexmedetomidine with sevoflurane anaesthesia and using an LMA and deep removal of ET reduce the incidence of EA.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Funding/Support

None declared.

References

- 1. Nasar V.G., Hannallah R S. Emergence Agitation in children: A Review. M.E.J. Anesth 2011; 21(2): 175-184.
- 2. Eckenhoff JE, Kneale DH, Dripps RD: The incidence and etiology of postanesthetic excitement. Anesthesiology 1961; 22: 667-73.
- 3. Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S, Tait AR. A prospective cohort study of emergence agitation in the pediatric postanaesthesia care unit. AnesthAnalg 2003; 96(6):1625-30.
- Bortone L, Ingelmo P, Grossi S, Grattagliano C, Bricchi C, Barantani D, et al. Emergence agitation in preschool children: double-blind, randomized, controlled trial comparing sevoflurane and isoflurane anaesthesia. PaediatrAnaesth 2006; 16: 1138-43.
- 5. Beskow A, Westrin P. Sevoflurane causes more postoperative agitation in children than does halothane. ActaAnaesthesiolScand 1999; 43:536–41.

- 6. Hollister GR, Burn JM. Side effects of ketamine in pediatric anaesthesia. AnesthAnalg 1974; 53:264–7.
- Brown R. Postoperative Recovery. In: Nagelhout J.J., Zagalaniczny K.L. Nurse Anaesthesia. 3rd Ed. USA: Elsevier Sunders 2005: 1148-1149.
- 8. Nicholau D. Postanaesthesia Recovery. In: Stoelting R.K., Miller R.D. Basic of Anaesthesia, fifth Ed. USA: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier 2007: 572-573.
- 9. Olympio MA. Postanesthetic delirium: historical perspectives. J ClinAnesth 1991; 3: 60–3.
- Veyckemans F. Excitation phenomena during sevoflurane anaesthesia in children. CurrOpinAnaesthesiol 2001; 14:339–43.
- 11. Na HS, Song IA, Hwang JW, Do SH, Oh AY. Emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy: a comparison of sevoflurane vs. sevoflurane-remifentanil administration. ActaAnaesthesiolScand 2013; 57(1):100-5.
- 12. Li X, Zhang Y, Zhou M, Xia Q, Li W, Lu Q. The effect of small dose sufentanil on emergence agitation in preschool children following sevoflurane anaesthesia for elective repair of unilateral inguinal hernia. Saudi Med J 2013; 34(1):40-5.
- 13. Kim MS, Moon BE, Kim H, Lee JR. Comparison of propofol and fentanyl administered at the end of anaesthesia for prevention of emergence agitation after sevoflurane anaesthesia in children. Br J Anaesth 2013; 110(2):274-80.
- 14. Chen JY, Jia JE, Liu TJ, Qin MJ, Li WX. Comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and placebo on emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. Can J Anaesth 2013; 60(4): 385-92.
- 15. Singh R, Kharbanda M, Sood N, Mahajan V, Chatterji C. Comparative evaluation of incidence of emergence agitation and post-operative recovery profile in paediatric patients after isoflurane, sevoflurane and desflurane anaesthesia. Indian J Anaesth 2012; 56(2):156-61.
- 16. Meng QT, Xia ZY, Luo T, Wu Y, Tang LH, Zhao B, Chen JH, Chen X. Dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation after tonsillectomy in children by sevoflurane anaesthesia: a case-control study. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2012; 76(7): 1036-41.
- 17. Dahmani S, Mantz J, Veyckemans F. Case scenario: severe emergence agitation after myringotomy in a 3-yr-old child. Anesthesiology 2012; 117 (2): 399-406.
- 18. Jeong WJ, Kim WY, Moon MG, Min DJ, Lee YS, Kim JH, Park YC. The effect of ketamine on the separation anxiety and emergence agitation in children undergoing brief ophthalmic surgery under

78 *J Pediatr Rev. 2013;1(2)*

- desflurane general anaesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol 2012; 63 (3): 203-8.
- 19. Choi HR, Cho JK, Lee S, Yoo BH, Yon JH, Kim KM. The effect of remifentanil versus N2O on postoperative pain and emergence agitation after pediatric tonsillectomy/adenoidectomy. Korean J Anesthesiol 2011; 61(2):148-53.
- 20. Zand F, Allahyary E, Hamidi AR. Postoperative agitation in preschool children following emergence from sevoflurane or halothane anaesthesia: a randomized study on the forestalling effect of midazolam premedication versus parental presence at induction of anaesthesia. ActaAnaesthesiol Taiwan 2011; 49(3):96-9.
- 21. Li J, Huang ZL, Zhang XT, Luo K, Zhang ZQ, Mao Y, et al. Sufentanil reduces emergence agitation in children receiving sevoflurane anaesthesia for adenotonsillectomy compared with fentanyl. Chin Med J (Engl) 2011; 124(22): 3682-5.
- 22. Lee YC, Kim JM, Ko HB, Lee SR. Use of laryngeal mask airway and its removal in a deeply anaesthetized state reduces emergence agitation after sevoflurane anaesthesia in children. J Int Med Res. 2011; 39(6):2385-92.

J Pediatr Rev. 2013;1(2) 79